

Overview of procedures, and benefits of coronary bypass grafting surgery

Bassam Ali Othman Hakami, Maria Ali Othman Hakami, Awsam Mohammed Shaoush Hakami,
Tariq fahad hussain Hakami, Khaled Ali Ali Hakami, Abdulrahman Yahya Ali Jabbari

Abstract:

Coronary artery bypass graft surgery (CABG) remains the most common operation performed by cardiac surgeons today. In this review we will discuss the main aspects of procedure, adverse effects and highlight the benefits of CABG. We conducted a literature search among electronic databases PubMed (National Library of Medicine) using the keywords “Coronary artery bypass” and “Coronary artery bypass graft surgery (CABG)” together with “procedures” and “surgical method” up to November, 2017. The continuous development of coronary surgery is essential for those patients who could not be managed nonsurgical. PCI has had a dramatic impact on CABG, arresting the significant development of surgery in the 1980s and changing the focus of surgeons to patients with more advanced coronary disease and extensive coexisting conditions. When investigating postoperative modification to CABG, it is important to evaluate different physical, psychological and social variables also.

Introduction:

Coronary artery disease (CAD) is a leading reason for death and morbidity in diabetics, making up 70%-80% of deaths [1]. Diabetes forms up to 20%-35% of all patients going through coronary revascularization [2]. In diabetics, CAD tends to be diffuse having more complex lesions

including numerous vessels [3]. This makes reliable revascularization difficult. Several randomized trials have revealed that coronary artery bypass graft surgery (CABG) is superior to percutaneous coronary intervention (PCI) in patients with diabetes and multi-vessel disease (MVD) primarily because of reduced repeat revascularization rates [4]. However, whether the benefit of CABG over PCI is restricted to diabetics that require insulin is not known. Patients that require insulin typically have extra long-standing diabetes with failed oral hypoglycemic treatments [5]. They have extra cardiovascular risk variables with even more complex end-organ macro- and micro-vascular complications [6]. If the defensive impact of CABG over PCI is limited to diabetics that call for insulin, after that there will certainly be a massive effect, as most of diabetics are not insulin reliant and many are not insulin requiring.

Coronary artery bypass graft surgery (CABG) remains the most common operation performed by cardiac surgeons today. In this review we will discuss the main aspects of procedure, adverse effects and highlight the benefits of CABG.

Methodology:

We conducted a literature search among electronic databases PubMed (National Library of Medicine) using the keywords “Coronary artery bypass” and “Coronary artery bypass graft surgery (CABG)” together with “procedures” and “surgical method” up to November, 2017, for more identifying studies we scanned the references lists of included articles. we restricted search to only English published studies with human subjects.

Discussion:

- **History**

Coronary artery bypass grafting (CABG) is defined as "open-heart surgical treatment where an area of a capillary is implanted from the aorta to the coronary artery to bypass the obstructed section of the coronary artery and boost the blood supply to the heart." The pathophysiology of coronary artery disease was established in 1876 by Adam Hammer when he proposed that angina (imbalance of coronary perfusion supply and demand) was triggered by interruption of coronary blood supply which myocardial infarction took place after the occlusion of at the very least one coronary artery [7]. In the 19th century heart surgery was performed occasionally and with inadequate results. In 1896, Stephen Paget composed that "surgical treatment of the heart has possibly reached the limits set by nature to all surgery" [8]. Because very same year, Ludwig Rehn efficiently carried out heart surgical treatment fixing a stab wound. In 1910, Alexis Carrel was the very first to explain CABG [9].

Cardiac surgical procedure became a lot more viable in the late 1930s with the development of the heart-lung equipment by Dr. John Gibbon which enabled cardiopulmonary bypass (CPB) [10]. In 1950, at McGill University in Montreal, QC, Canada, Vineburg and Buller were the very first to implant the internal mammary artery (IMA) right into the myocardium to treat cardiac anemia and angina [11]. In 1953, D. W. Gordon Murray reported placement of arterial grafts in the coronary circulation [12]. Soon thereafter, in 1955, Sidney Smith was the initial to collect saphenous vein and utilize it as a graft from aorta to into the myocardium [12]. In 1958, Longmire et al. done the first open coronary artery endarterectomy without CPB at University of California at Los Angeles (UCLA).

The 1960s saw great advances in coronary artery surgical treatment. Goetz et al. are attributed with performing the first successful human coronary artery bypass operation in 1961. In 1962, Proudfit et al. generated the initial useful cardiac angiography visualizing the coronary arteries [13]. Kolesov performed the very first effective internal mammary artery-coronary artery anastomosis in 1964, and Favoloro et al. reported utilizing saphenous vein to restore coronary artery blood flow in 171 patients. In the 1970s, proceeded advancement of strategy and avenues occurred. In 1973, Benetti, Calafiore, and Subramian successfully completed anastomoses on a beating heart [14]. In the 1980s, the frequency of CABG raised and protection boosted. Thoracoscopic harvesting of the left IMA was reported in 1998 by Duhaylongsod et al. [15], and minimally invasive and robotic surgical methods were likewise developed. Presently, the variety of CABG is decreasing from a height of 519,000 operations in 2000 to an estimated 300,000 instances in 2012 [16].

- **Patient selection**

CABG is normally reserved for patients with signs and symptoms of heart disease and that are at danger of cardiovascular disease. It is not the only available treatment alternative for CHD: medications, lifestyle changes and less invasive procedures such as angioplasty or stents might additionally be suitable, depending upon patient qualities. CABG could be advised if patients have illness including numerous coronary arteries, or involving the left anterior descending artery, or if these various other therapies have not functioned or are not practical.

- **Interventional technique:**

Although the fundamental basis of CABG is to restore perfusion to the myocardium, there are numerous various methods to accomplish this objective. The first element considered is the use of

cardiopulmonary bypass or "on pump versus off pump." Originally, most cardiac surgeries were executed on a beating heart, but with the development of cardiopulmonary bypass and cardioplegia, the majority of CABG were done on pump. Nevertheless, interest in off-pump coronary artery bypass (OPCAB) surgical procedure had renewal in the 1990s. Benetti et al. [17] and Buffalo et al. [18] released their results of almost 2000 OPCAB patients showing operative security. Noted advantages of OPCAB consist of lower end organ damage, that is, renal failing, cerebrovascular accidents (CVA), less cognitive shortages, less psychomotor issues, reduced transfusion rates, and decreased systemic inflammation.

Just recently, Afilalo et al. released a meta-analysis comparing on-pump CABG and OPCAB [19]. The primary outcomes were all-cause death, stroke, and myocardial infarction. Fifty-nine tests were included with virtually 9000 patients. The research population had a mean age of 63.4 and with a male to women predominance of over 4: 1. Postoperative CVA was dramatically reduced by 30% in the OPCAB group (risk ratio (RR) 0.70, 95% CI: 0.49- 0.99). Rate in mortality (RR: 0.90, 95% CI: 0.63-1.30) and myocardial infarction (pooled RR: 0.89, 95% CI: 0.69-1.13) were not different between groups. In the metaregression analysis, medical outcome was comparable regardless of mean age, percentage of women in the trial, variety of grafts per patient, and test publication date.

Forouzannia et al. compared professional and economic end results of off-pump and on-pump coronary artery bypass surgery [20]. They examined 304 patients undertaking coronary artery bypass surgery and were randomized into standard on pump and off-pump groups. OPCAB dramatically lowered the requirement for postoperative transfusion demand ($P < 0.05$). There were no statistically substantial distinctions in surgical reexploration or length of stay. They

located that the mean cost for an on-pump surgery was substantially above an off-pump surgical treatment.

- **Common Adverse Events**

The incidence of postoperative CVA after CABG ranges from 1.4% to 3.8% [21]. Risk aspects include age, previous stroke, diabetes mellitus, hypertension, and female sex. Hypoperfusion is likewise risk element for postoperative stroke. Mortality rate is 10-fold greater among post-CABG patients with previous stroke with longer sizes of health center remain. Although off-pump CABG was introduced to decrease adverse neurological results associated with CPB, this has not been verified in the literature. The incidence of postoperative delirium after CABG is <10% [22].

Postoperative delirium has been linked to functional decline at 1 month, short-term cognitive decline, and risk of late mortality [23]. Short-term cognitive changes occur in some patients after on-pump CABG. Risk factors for short-term postoperative cognitive decline include preexisting risk cerebrovascular disease, central nervous system disorders, and cognitive impairment. It is believed that nearly 30% of CABG patients may have preoperative cognitive impairment.

Nosocomial infections occur in 10 % to 20 % of cardiac surgical procedure patients. To avoid medical site infections in CABG patients, a multimodality strategy entailing several perioperative treatments should be taken into consideration. Risk of deep sternal injury infection is increased in diabetics, overweight patients (body mass index > 30 kg/m²), and patients with COPD and has additionally been associated with extended CPB time, extended intubation time, and surgical. Infection rates could be improved by smoking cigarettes cessation, maximizing nutritional status, tight sugar control, and weight loss.

Transfusion of homologous blood has been associated, in a dose-dependent fashion, to an enhanced risk of postoperative infection, morbidity, and both very early and late death [24] They have been in addition related to a greater occurrence of sternal wound infections. In a retrospective evaluation of 15,592 cardio patients, the threat of blood poisoning and sternal wound infections increased with each unit of blood transfused. This finding correlates with a RCT revealing that leukocyte-depleted blood had actually lowered rates of infection (17.9% versus 23.5%; $P < 0.04$) and 60-day death (7.8% versus 3.6%; $P < 0.019$) [25]. Transfusions have likewise been recognized as an independent risk aspect for damaging end results. Commonly, postoperative myocardial anxiety is observed constantly after transfusion in a dose-dependent manner. Survival rates after CABG are minimized in patients needing transfusion.

The reported occurrence of acute renal failure (ARF) after CABG is 2% to 3% with 1% of those patients requiring dialysis [26]. There are several conditions that influence postoperative renal failure. These threat elements consist of pre-existing renal dysfunction, decreased cardiac output, as in CHF or shock, insulin reliant diabetes, and concomitant outer artery condition. Advanced age, black race, female gender, and the demand for rising surgical intervention or preoperative intraaortic balloon assistance have all been implicated in raising the threat of ARF.

As CABG successfully eases angina and may extend survival, boosted lifestyle could be anticipated after surgical treatment. Equally vital to establishing the overall lifestyle is the need to determine the effect that CABG carries physical and mental health. Data available to assist medical professionals identify those senior patients that are likely to have an improvement in lifestyle after CABG are minimal. Although hospitalisation may be much longer for elderly patients, physiological, psychological and social healing patterns through the initial 6 weeks postoperatively have been reported to be much like those of a younger age [27]. In a research

study which utilized self-reported wellness questionnaires in 1744 patients aged > 65 years going through CABG, substantial renovations were noted in lifestyle after a 6-month follow-up. This benefit was present across every age teams and was found to be particularly amplified in patients who had a poorer preoperative health condition.

- **Advantages :**

Most patients remain free of symptoms for up to 15 years following surgery. The surgery also reduces the risk of heart attack and improves survival.

Quality life improvement

As CABG correctly reduces angina and could lengthen survival, improved way of life could be anticipated after surgical procedure. In a similar way necessary to determining the complete way of living is the need to develop the result that CABG carries physical and emotional wellness. Information available to aid clinicians identify those senior patients who are most likely to have an enhancement in way of living after CABG are restricted. Although hospitalisation might be longer for elderly patients, physical, psychological and social healing patterns with the initial 6 weeks postoperatively have been reported to be similar to those of a younger age [27]. In a research that made use self-reported wellness and wellness surveys in 1744 patients aged > 65 years embarking on CABG, substantial enhancements were noted in way of living after a 6-month follow-up. This advantage existed throughout any age groups and was found to be specifically enhanced in patients that had a poorer preoperative health condition.

Prolongation of life

Prolongation of life as a result of CABG was at first addressed in three major randomized medical trials that compared CABG with medical treatment. They include the Veterans Administration Study (VAS), [29] the European Coronary Artery Surgery Study (ECASS), [28] and the Coronary Artery Surgery Study (CASS). The 3 very early significant randomized trials and even more current research studies indicate that patients with narrowing of the left major coronary artery, or triple-vessel illness and subnormal left ventricular functioning have a specifically poor prognosis when dealt with clinically, and benefit from CABG. Current researches recommend that using the left interior thoracic artery to the left anterior coming down coronary artery, and possibly several arterial revascularization, enhances survival and reduces late cardiac occasions after CABG. Furthermore, off-pump CABG could use less very early problems, especially in those patients with significant comorbidity. Data are much less clear for patients with solitary- or double-vessel disease, or with normal left ventricular performance. For these patients, several other variables need to be taken into consideration when analyzing the advantages of surgical treatment consisting of the patient's degree of physical functioning, psychological functioning, social performance, and employment condition. **Physical functioning**

Improved useful condition and return to pre-morbid lifestyle is a major objective for the majority of patients undertaking CABG. Alleviation of angina and dyspnea, degree of exercise, difficulties of surgery, and re-hospitalization have been checked out when assessing physical functioning [30].

Relief from angina and dyspnea

Incapacitating angina is the most usual sign for CABG. Results of empirical studies and randomized regulated trials of medical versus medical therapy have demonstrated that in patients with disabling angina pectoris, surgical treatment lead to relief from symptoms and lowered need

for anti-anginal medicine [31]. A review of 14 controlled medical tests showed that the likelihood of becoming angina-free was roughly 40% higher in the surgical compared to the clinical group [32]. A more recent research study discovered that 80% of CABG patients were angina-free up to 5 years after surgical treatment [33].

Physical activity

Increased optimum workout efficiency after CABG has been reported in a number of studies [31]. One research study discovered that 6 months after CABG, typical day-to-day physical activity had actually enhanced, with a sharp reduction in the variety of days individuals were unable to accomplish usual tasks, or were constrained to bed, because of their heart disease [34]. An investigation of typical activity levels at house, at leisure, and socially, located that these were boosted 1 year adhering to surgery. An analysis of QOL before and 3 months after heart surgery located that physical mobility was enhanced in 77% of patients [35]. One study developed an exercise rating having six questions for the self-estimation of physical abilities and limitations. The score enhanced over time, with the significant renovation observed at 3 months, and additionally slight enhancement at 2 years.

Conclusion:

Coronary artery bypass surgery remains an established form of therapy for coronary artery condition, and most of coronary surgical procedures are performed for numerous vessel illness. Generally, the mortality rate of coronary artery surgery is reduced, although this benefit is offset by a complication rate of 20%-30%. Proceeded study into different approaches, techniques and

clinical treatments might make cardiac surgery much less invasive and more secure in the future. The benefits and risks for every patient need to be evaluated with a team approach to identify which method is best for that patient. Despite paradigm shifts in clinical treatments and stenting, the continuous development of coronary surgery is essential for those patients who could not be managed nonsurgically. PCI has had a dramatic impact on CABG, arresting the significant development of surgery in the 1980s and changing the focus of surgeons to patients with more advanced coronary disease and extensive coexisting conditions. When investigating postoperative modification to CABG, it is important to evaluate different physical, psychological and social variables also.

Reference:

1. Harris MI. Diabetes in America: epidemiology and scope of the problem. *Diabetes Care*. 1998;21(Suppl 3):C11–C14.
2. Brooks MM, Jones RH, Bach RG, et al. Predictors of mortality and mortality from cardiac causes in the bypass angioplasty revascularization investigation (BARI) randomized trial and registry. For the BARI Investigators. *Circulation*. 2000;101:2682–2689.
3. Nicholls SJ, Tuzcu EM, Kalidindi S, et al. Effect of diabetes on progression of coronary atherosclerosis and arterial remodeling: a pooled analysis of 5 intravascular ultrasound trials. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2008;52:255–262.
4. Influence of diabetes on 5-year mortality and morbidity in a randomized trial comparing CABG and PTCA in patients with multivessel disease: the Bypass Angioplasty Revascularization Investigation (BARI) *Circulation*. 1997;96:1761–1769.
5. Inzucchi SE, Bergenstal RM, Buse JB, et al. Management of hyperglycaemia in type 2 diabetes: a patient-centered approach. Position statement of the American Diabetes Association (ADA) and the European Association for the Study of Diabetes (EASD) *Diabetologia*. 2012;55:1577–1596.
6. Akin I, Bufe A, Eckardt L, et al. Comparison of outcomes in patients with insulin-dependent versus non-insulin dependent diabetes mellitus receiving drug-eluting stents (from the first phase of the prospective multicenter German DES.DE registry) *Am J Cardiol*. 2010;106:1201–1207.
7. Westaby S. *Landmarks in Cardiac Surgery*. Oxford, UK: Isis Medical Media; 1997.
8. Davies MK, Hollman A. History of cardiac surgery. *Heart*. 2002;87(6):p. 509.
9. Shumacker HB. *The Evolution of Cardiac Surgery*. Bloomington, Ind, USA: Indiana University Press; 1992.

10. Cooper DKC. Open Heart: The Radical Surgeons Who Revolutionized Medicine. New York, NY, USA: Kaplan; 2010.
11. Shrager B. The vineberg procedure: the immediate forerunner of coronary artery bypass grafting. *Annals of Thoracic Surgery*. 1994;57(5):1354–1364.
12. Longmire WP, Cannon J, Kattus AA. Direct-vision coronary endarterectomy for angina pectoris. *The New England Journal of Medicine*. 1958;259(21):993–999.
13. Proudfit WL, Shirey EK, Sones FM., Jr. Selective cine coronary arteriography. Correlation with clinical findings in 1,000 patients. *Circulation*. 1966;33(6):901–910.
14. Cremer J, Fraund S. Beating Heart Bypass Surgery and Minimally Invasive Conduit Harvesting. Steinkopff; 2004.
15. Duhaylonsod FG, Mayfield WR, Wolf RK. Thoracoscopic harvest of the internal thoracic artery: a multicenter experience in 218 cases. *Annals of Thoracic Surgery*. 1998;66(3):1012–1017.
16. Gaziano T, Reddy KS, Paccaud F, Horton S, Chaturvedi V. Cardiovascular disease. In: Jamison DT, Breman JG, Measham AR, et al., editors. *Disease Control Priorities in Developing Countries*. 2nd edition. chapter 33. Washington, DC, USA: World Bank; 2006.
17. Benetti FJ, Naselli G, Wood M, Geffner L. Direct myocardial revascularization without extracorporeal circulation; Experience in 700 patients. *Chest*. 1991;100(2):312–316.
18. Buffalo E, de Andrade JCS, Branco JNR, Teles CA, Aguiar LF, Gomes WJ. Coronary artery bypass grafting without cardiopulmonary bypass. *Annals of Thoracic Surgery*. 1996;61(1):63–66.
19. Afilalo J, Rasti M, Ohayon SM, Shimony A, Eisenberg MJ. Off-pump versus on-pump coronary artery bypass surgery: an updated meta-analysis and meta-regression of randomized trials. *European Heart Journal*. 2012;33(10):1257–1267.
20. Forouzannia SK, Abdollahi MH, Mirhosseini SJ, et al. Clinical outcome and cost in patients with off-pump versus on-pump coronary artery bypass surgery. *Acta Medica Iranica*. 2011;49(7):414–419.
21. Selim M. Perioperative stroke. *The New England Journal of Medicine*. 2007;356(7):706–713.
22. Légaré JF, Buth KJ, King S, et al. Coronary bypass surgery performed off pump does not result in lower in-hospital morbidity than coronary artery bypass grafting performed on pump. *Circulation*. 2004;109(7):887–892.
23. Rudolph JL, Inouye SK, Jones RN, et al. Delirium: an independent predictor of functional decline after cardiac surgery. *Journal of the American Geriatrics Society*. 2010;58(4):643–649.
24. Leal-Noval SR, Rincón-Ferrari MD, García-Curiel A, et al. Transfusion of blood components and postoperative infection in patients undergoing cardiac surgery. *Chest*. 2001;119(5):1461–1468.

25. van de Watering LM, Hermans J, Houbiers JG, et al. Beneficial effects of leukocyte depletion of transfused blood on postoperative complications in patients undergoing cardiac surgery: a randomized clinical trial. *Circulation*. 1998;97(6):562–568.
26. Abraham VS, Swain JA. Cardiopulmonary bypass and kidney. In: Gravlee GP, Davis R, editors. *Cardiopulmonary Bypass: Principles and Practice*. Philadelphia, Pa, USA: Lippincott Williams & Wilkins; 2000. pp. 382–391.
27. Artinian N T, Duggan C, Miller P. Age differences in patient recovery patterns following coronary artery bypass surgery. *Am J Crit Care* 1993;24:53–461.
28. European Coronary Surgery Study Group. European Coronary Surgery Study Group: Long-term results of prospective randomised study of coronary artery bypass surgery in stable angina pectoris. *Lancet*. 1982;2:1173–80.
29. Grover FL, Hammermeister KE, Burchfiel C. Initial report of the Veterans Administration Preoperative Risk Assessment Study for Cardiac Surgery. *Ann Thorac Surg*. 1990;50:12–26.discussion 27–18.
30. Jenkins CD, Stanton BA, Savageau JA, et al. Coronary artery bypass surgery. Physical, psychological, social and economic outcomes six months later. *JAMA*. 1983;250:782–8.
31. Herlitz J, Karlson BW, Sjolund H, et al. Physical activity, symptoms of chest pain and dyspnea in patients with ischemic heart disease in relation to age before and two years after coronary artery bypass grafting. *J Cardiovasc Surg*. 2001;42(2):165–173.
32. Fihn SD, Williams SV, Daley J, et al. Guidelines for the management of patients with chronic stable angina: treatment. *Ann Intern Med*. 2001;135:616–632.
33. Wolman RL, Nussmeier NA, Aggarwal A, et al. Cerebral injury after cardiac surgery: identification of a group at extraordinary risk. Multicenter Study of Perioperative Ischemia Research Group (McSPI) and the Ischemia Research Education Foundation (IREF) Investigators. *Stroke*. 1999;514–22.
34. Jenkins CD, Stanton BA, Savageau JA, et al. Coronary artery bypass surgery. Physical, psychological, social and economic outcomes six months later. *JAMA*. 1983;250:782–8.
35. Chocron S, Etievent JP, Viel JF, et al. Prospective study of quality of life before and after open heart operations. *Ann Thorac Surg*. 1996;61:153–7.